

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,311	07/02/2003	Louis Robert Litwin	PU030155	4084	
24498 7	590 02/22/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
THOMSON LICENSING INC.			PERILLA, JASON M		
PATENT OPE	RATIONS				
PO BOX 5312		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PRINCETON,	NJ 08543-5312		2638		
			DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/612,3	311	LITWIN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit			
		Jason M		2638			
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communical Reply 	ation appears on th	ne cover sheet wi	th the correspondence add	iress		
WHIC - Exten after \$ - If NO - Failur Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI sions of time may be available under the provisions of the sions of time may be available under the provisions of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will exply received by the Office later than three months after different term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ication. ory period will apply and v I, by statute, cause the ap	'HIS COMMUNIC vent, however, may a ro will expire SIX (6) MON polication to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this con ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a) ☐ 3) ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2b Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice)⊠ This action is r allowance excep	t for formal matt	•	merits is		
Dispositio	on of Claims						
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Application 9) □ □	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applian of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers The specification is objected to by the Entry that any objection of the drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2003 is applicant may not request that any objection of the oath or declaration is objected to be compared to the control of the oath or declaration is objected to be	withdrawn from contain and/or election Examiner. /are: a) acception to the drawing(s) the correction is required.	requirement. ed or b)□ objec be held in abeyan ired if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CF	• •		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) 5)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO- 	-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/612,311

Art Unit: 2638

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1-8, 12, 13, 18, and 19 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Regarding claim 1, in line 5, "an absolute value block that takes the absolute value" should be replaced by —a plurality of absolute value blocks that take a respective absolute value--, and, in line 7, "partial correlation" should be replaced by —absolute value--.

Regarding claims 4, 5, 12, 13, 18 and 19, the acronyms "SCH" should be defined in the claims.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 6, 16, 17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sourour et al (US 6421371; hereafter "Sourour").

Regarding claim 1, Sourour discloses according to figure 5 an apparatus for performing a synchronization operation in a wireless communication system, the apparatus comprising: a plurality of sliding correlators (424) that each receives a portion

Art Unit: 2638

of a received correlation sequence (423) and provides a partial correlation output (530-2. 530-1); a plurality of magnitude squared blocks (540-2, 540-1) that take the absolute value of each partial correlation output; and circuitry that combines (550) the absolute values of each of the partial correlation outputs to form a correlation output (col. 6. line 60 – col. 7, line 30). In figure 5 of Sourour, the delay line of the sliding correlator (424) is divided to create a plurality of sliding correlators according to the nature of the summation of its outputs. That is, each of the delay line segment latches (D latches). their respective multiplication units (520-1 to 520-M) used to multiply the outputs of the segment latches by a chip of a stored correlation sequence (Ci), and their respective summation block (530-2, 530-1) represents a one of a plurality of sliding correlators. Sourour discloses magnitude squared blocks rather than absolute value blocks. However, as understood by one having ordinary skill in the art, the magnitude squared blocks (540-1, 540-2) are functionally equivalent to the absolute value blocks because they always convert either positive or negative inputs to positive absolute value outputs.

Regarding claim 2, Sourour discloses the limitations of claim 1 as applied above. Further, Sourour discloses that each of the plurality of sliding correlators receives a portion of a stored correlation sequence (fig. 5, "Ci"; col. 7, lines 2-5) for comparison to the portion of the received correlation sequence.

Regarding claim 3, Sourour discloses the limitations of claim 1 as applied above. Further, Sourour discloses that the correlation output corresponds to a correlation peak (col. 3, lines 60-65).

Application/Control Number: 10/612,311

Art Unit: 2638

Regarding claim 6, Sourour discloses the limitations of claim 1 as applied above. Further, Sourour discloses that the apparatus comprises a portion of a code division multiple access receiver (col. 8, lines 35-40).

Regarding claim 16, Sourour discloses the limitations of the claim as applied to claim 1 above.

Regarding claim 17, Sourour discloses the limitations of the claim as applied to claim 3 above.

Regarding claim 20, Sourour discloses the limitations of the claim as applied to claim 20 above. The steps in the method are performed sequentially in the stated order as illustrated by Sourour.

5. Claims 4, 5, 7, 8, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boloorian (US 6950458; hereafter "Boloorian") in view of Sourour.

Regarding claim 4, Boloorian discloses a base station transmitter that transmits a synchronization control channel (SCH) which is comprised of a primary SCH and a secondary SCH (col. 4, lines 10-16). Boloorian discloses that, in an ordinary Wideband Code Division Multiple Access (WCDMA) receiver based on the Universal Mobile Telecommunications Standard (UMTS), the SCH channels are correlated to find a peak which represents the base station the receiver should communicate with (col. 4, lines 40-45). Boloorian does not disclose the limitations of claim 1. However, Sourour does disclose an exemplary method to perform synchronization using correlation as applied to claim 1 above. Further, Sourour teaches that the disclosed apparatus is advantageously used to reduce errors arising from mismatches between the

frequencies of the received and locally generated sequences (col. 7, lines 25-30).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time which the invention was made to utilize a correlation apparatus as taught by Sourour as a correlator for the SCH channels of Boloorian because, in the case of a frequency mismatch, the correlator of Sourour reduces errors.

Regarding claim 5, the limitations of the claim are disclosed by Boloorian in view of Sourour as applied to claim 4 above.

Regarding claim 7, the limitations of the claim are disclosed by Boloorian in view of Sourour as applied to claim 4 above.

Regarding claim 8, the limitations of claim 1 are disclosed by Boloorian in view of Sourour as applied to claim 4 above. Further, Boloorian discloses that the correlation apparatus comprises at least a portion of a cell search block (col. 4, lines 15-50).

Regarding claim 18, the limitations of the claim are disclosed by Boloorian in view of Sourour as applied to claim 4 above.

Regarding claim 19, the limitations of the claim are disclosed by Boloorian in view of Sourour as applied to claim 4 above.

6. Claims 9-11, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schelm et al (US Pub. No. 2003/0235238; hereafter "Schelm") in view of Sourour.

Regarding claim 9, Schelm discloses a code division multiple access ("CDMA") receiver (para. 0001), comprising: an analog-to-digital converter (fig. 1, ref. 16) that receives a CDMA signal (fig. 1, ref. 15) via an antenna (fig. 1, ref. 14) and converts the

Art Unit: 2638

CDMA signal into a digital signal (fig. 1, ref. 18): a matched filter (fig. 1, ref. 20) that filters the digital signal to produce a filtered digital signal; a tapped delay line (fig. 8, ref. 120) that receives the filtered digital signal and produces a delayed filtered digital signal (para. 0093); and, a cell search block (fig. 8, ref. 122; "correlator 1-R"). The plurality of correlators of Schelm are considered to be a cell search block because they search for a correlation peak in the received signal from a cellular base station. Schelm does not disclose that each correlator of the cell search block is comprised of a plurality of sliding correlators that each receives at least a portion of the delayed filtered digital signal and provides a partial correlation output; an absolute value block that takes the absolute value of each partial correlation output; and circuitry that combines the absolute values of each of the partial correlation outputs to form a correlation output. However, Sourour teaches an exemplary correlator comprised of a plurality of sliding correlators that each receives at least a portion of the delayed filtered digital signal and provides a partial correlation output; an absolute value block that takes the absolute value of each partial correlation output; and circuitry that combines the absolute values of each of the partial correlation outputs to form a correlation output as applied to claim 1 above. Further, Sourour teaches the correlator may be advantageously used to reduce errors arising from mismatches between the frequencies of the received and locally generated sequences. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to replace each of the correlators in the cell search block of Schelm with the frequency mismatch correcting correlators of Sourour because they could be used to reduce errors due to frequency mismatch.

Regarding claim 10, Schelm in view of Sourour disclose the limitations of claim 9 as applied above. Further, Sourour discloses that each of the plurality of sliding correlators receives a portion of a stored correlation sequence (fig. 5, "Ci"; col. 7, lines 2-5) for comparison to the portion of the received correlation sequence.

Regarding claim 11, Schelm in view of Sourour disclose the limitations of claim 9 as applied above. Further, Sourour discloses that the correlation output corresponds to a correlation peak (col. 3, lines 60-65).

Regarding claim 14, Schelm in view of Sourour disclose the limitations of claim 9 as applied above. Further, Sourour discloses that the apparatus comprises a portion of a code division multiple access receiver (col. 8, lines 35-40).

Regarding claim 15, Schelm in view of Sourour disclose the limitations of claim 9 as applied above. Further, Sourour discloses that the CMA receiver complies with the UMTS WCDMA standard (para. 0015).

Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schelm in view of Sourour, and in further view of Boloorian.

Regarding claim 12, Schelm in view of Sourour disclose the limitations of claim 11 as applied above. Schelm in view of Sourour disclose that the CDMA receiver is a WCDMA receiver (para. 0003), but do not disclose that the correlation peak corresponds to a primary synchronization channel. However, Boloorian teaches that primary and secondary synchronization channels are widely utilized by WCDMA receivers for initial cell search to allow a receiver to lock to a particular transmitting base station (col. 4, lines 10-50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having

ordinary skill in the art at the time which the invention was made to utilize the cell search block to find the peak of the primary synchronization channel as taught by Boloorian in the receiver of Schelm in view of Sourour because it would allow for synchronization with the transmitting base station for WCDMA communications.

Page 8

Regarding claim 13, Schelm in view of Sourour, and in further view of Boloorian disclose the limitations of the claim as applied to claim 12 above.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following prior art of record not relied upon above is cited to further show the state of the art with respect to WCDMA receivers.
 - U.S. Pat. No. 6256338 to Jalloul et al.
 - U.S. Pub. No. 2001/0040915 to Subramanian.
 - U.S. Pat. No. 6983009 to Lomp.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason M. Perilla whose telephone number is (571) 272-3055. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh M. Fan can be reached on (571) 272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,311

Art Unit: 2638

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jason M. Perilla February 10, 2006

jmp

CHIEH M. FAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER